

Las Vegas Gazette.

VOLUME 6 NUMBER 5.

LAS VEGAS, NEW MEXICO APRIL 13, 1878.

WHOLE NUMBER 265

BUSINESS CARDS—ATTORNEYS.

McSWEEN & SHIELD,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
Las Vegas, Lincoln County, New Mexico.

J. H. ROOGLER,
Attorney at Law,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

LOUIS SUZBACHER,
Attorney at Law,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

MELVIN W. MILLS,
Attorney & Counselor at Law,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

SYDNEY A. HUBBELL,
Attorney at Law,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

T. P. CATRON, W. T. THORNTON,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

T. P. CONWAY,
Attorney at Law,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

W. H. GARNER,
Counselor at Law,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

REDFERN & HUNTER,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

A. MORRISON,
Counselor at Law,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

W. H. GARNER,
Counselor at Law,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

RAVENHILL BROS.,
Bankers,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

BARBER SHED,
A. MacC. Proprietor,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

FRANK ROBINSON,
Carpenter, Builder and Contractor,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

J. M. CUNNINGHAM, M. D.,
Homeopathic Physician & Surgeon,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

J. H. SUTIN,
Dentist & Oculist,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

A. H. CRAWFORD,
Hotel and Stage Station,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

BUSINESS CARDS—MERCHANTS.

A. GIZELACHOWSKI,
Dealer in General Merchandise,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

ANDERS NELSON,
General Merchant,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

C. H. MOORE,
Dealer in General Merchandise,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

F. W. RUCK, W. ROBERT,
ROBERT & KECK,
Dealers in All classes of Merchandise,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

SPIEGELBERG BROS.,
Wholesale & Retail Merchants,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

A. P. HARRIS,
Coach, House, Sign, and Ornamental
PAINTER,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

FELIX PAPA,
TINNER,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

J. H. SHURT, M. D., G. W. STRAUSS,
J. H. SHURT & CO.,
Wholesale & Retail Dealers in
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

INSOR STERN,
Agent for
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

Canisig Bros. & Co.,
St. Louis, Mo., will pay the highest
Cash Prices
FOR WOOL, HIDES, BELTS, Etc., Etc.

The Tecolote Store
AND U. S. FORAGE AGENCY OF
Dabid Winternitz,
Las Vegas, New Mexico.

GEO. CROXFORD,
Saddler and harness Maker,
Las Vegas, N. M.

Exchange Hotel Las Vegas Gazette.

AND
U. S. FORAGE AGENCY,
LAS VEGAS, N. M.

This Hotel has been newly refitted and affords SUPERIOR ADVANTAGES for the accommodation of the traveling public.

The Billiard Room has first class Tables, and the Bar is always supplied with the very best liquors, cigars, etc., etc.

Unprecedented Immigration to Kansas.

Topoka, Kan., March 28.—The immigration to this state continues unprecedented in the history of the West, it being below rather than above the normal figures. We give the number reaching Kansas, this one day, at 2,500. Twenty-seven car-loads of people arrived at Kansas City to-day on the North Missouri road, eight on the Missouri Pacific and six on the Hannibal and St. Joe, the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific. The Hannibal and the Burlington delivered eleven car-loads at Atchison. All these people were for the Kansas route, the Gulf road taking ten car-loads south of the Kansas Pacific, nine west, and the Atchison, Topoka and Santa Fe twenty-six car-loads southwest, the latter road being forced to run its train in four sections.

Bernadillo Wins.

The New Mexican gives the following sheet on returns: Don Mariano S. Otero is in the city from Bernadillo, and we are indebted to him for the following figures, showing the result of the election on the county seat question. It will be seen that Bernadillo wins by at least 990 majority:

Provinces, Bernadillo, Albuquerque	Pajart...	Atreiso...	Barelas...	Albuquerque	Grigoso...	Rancho...	Alameda...	Coralles...	Idemadillo...	Placitas...	Algodones...	Peña Blanca...	Sile...	James...	San Antonio...	San Antonio...	San Isidro...	Nacimiento...	Casa Salazar...	San Ygnacio...	San Francisco...			
136	00	17	49	10	15	29	11	116	6	27	1	116	2	85	1	94	14	2	115	8	12	9	106	187

The Religious Cured Prayer.

A Private Soldier by the name of Richard was taken before the Magistrate of Glasgow, for Playing Cards in Church during Divine Service, the act of it is given in the English Journal.

The Sergeant commanded the soldiers at the church to whom the person had read the prayers, to take the text, those who had a bible took it out, but this soldier had neither a bible or a common prayer book, but rolling out a pack of cards he spread them out before him, he first looked at one and then at the other.

The Sergeant of the company saw him, and said, Richard! out up the cards this is no place for them; never mind that said Richard.

When the service was over the constable took Richard prisoner and brought him before the mayor.

Well says the mayor, what have you brought him here for?

For playing cards in church.

Well soldier what have you got to say for yourself?

Much sir, I hope.

Very good, if not I will punish you more than ever so proceed.

I have been, said the soldier, six weeks on the march, I have neither bible or prayer book, I have nothing but a pack of common cards, and I hope to satisfy your worship of the purity of my intentions; (then spreading the cards before the mayor, he began with the ace.)

When I see the ace it reminds me that there is but one God; when I see the deuce it reminds me of Father and Son, when I see the tray it reminds of the Father and Son and the Holy Ghost; when I see the 4 it reminds me of the 4 Evangelists that preached, Matthew, Mark, Luke and John; when I see the 5 it reminds me of the 5 wise Virgins that trimmed the lamps they were 5 wise, but five were foolish and were shut out; when I see the 6 it reminds me that in 6 days God made the Heavens and Earth, when I see the 7 it reminds me that on the 7th day God rested from the great work and hallowed it; when I see the 8 it reminds me of the 8 righteous persons that were saved when God destroyed the world, viz: Noah and his wife, and his three sons and their wives; when I see the 9 it reminds me of Simon they were 9 out of 10 that never returned thanks; when I see the 10 it reminds me of the 10 Commandments that God handed down to Moses on the tables of stone; when I see the King it reminds me of the great King of Heaven, which is God Almighty; when I see the Queen it reminds me of the Queen of Sheba who visited Solomon, for she was as wise a woman as he was a man, she brought him fifty boys and fifty girls all dressed in boys apparel, for Solomon to tell which were boys and which were girls, Solomon sent for water for them to wash, the girls washed in their elbows and boys to their wrists; the King told by that.

Well, says the Mayor, you have told me of all of the cards in the pack but one.

What is that?

The Knave says the Mayor, I will give you a description of that if you will not get angry.

I will not if you do not call me the Knave, says the Mayor.

The greatest Knave that I know is the constable that brought me here.

I don't know said the Mayor, if he's not the greatest Knave he is the greatest Fool.

When I count the number of spots in a pack of cards, I find 365, as many as there are days in the year, when I count the number of cards in a pack, I find there are 52, the number of weeks in a year; I find 4 suits, the number of weeks in a month; I find 12 picture cards, the number of months in a year, and on counting the tricks, I find 12, the number of weeks in a quarter. So you see sir, a Pack of Cards serves for a Bible, Almanac, and a Common Prayer Book.

Beecher on Bob Ingersoll.

Mr. Beecher stretched himself upon his bed and bade the newspaper man go on.

"First Mr. Ingersoll came, and I want to know your views of the doctrines that he is teaching; his views of the structure of the Christian religion."

"I think," said Mr. Beecher, "that Mr. Ingersoll, with the best intention doubtless in the world, has made a representation of the Bible that would be rejected by every intelligent denomination of Christian men under the sun. I hold the Scriptures to be inspired, not in the same way that Milton and Shakespeare were severely inspired, literary inspiration, to sit down and write out a whole book; I recognize that it is the work of the religious literature of one people through a period of more than two thousand years. It has been gathered into one book and it is the records through that period of time, and is like any other history so far as it is history, and like any other poetry so far as it is poetry. It is a religious book. It is a book of the experiences of the past—belongs to the past. It forms the record, not of the inspiration—literary inspiration of any one man—but of that divine inspiration which belongs to the whole nation, to the life of a generation. Mr. Ingersoll's criticisms do not invalidate the Bible any more than what is said of it as its value is lessened when a man brings me the straw upon which it grows and asks me to eat that. Now, there are a good many things in the growth of the book which stand in relation to it, as the straw stands in relation to the grain. Who cares for Leviticus, Deuteronomy, etc., all these institutional statements which the people of the present age have outgrown? Now, take the New Testament. It represents a more advanced period. The points which Mr. Ingersoll criticizes, and which men generally criticize, are matters about which the human brain has no competency—the doctrinal forms the structure

of the universe, the absolute nature of God, the theory of human government and the doctrine of last things. They lie beyond the horizon. We have no means of investigating them. Yet that is the ground on which the sects have fought their battles. Look at the New Testament. What are its teachings, its ethics? They take hold of the spiritual life, and there is no dispute about the fact that it teaches honesty and chastity, and all the highest virtues. All disputation agree in this, and even all men who do not believe in the Christian religion at all.

"These moral men?"

"Yes and these teaching afford the best grounds for the existence of the book. In his arguments against the Bible he attacks the weakest part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a symbolization and intended to inspire people with a sense of the grandeur of God. He literalizes it as if God was a stern tyrant. Now, I do not care how much a trellis grapevine is growing on, if you take that trellis away from it, the grapevine goes down to the earth. I would not take away from the Bible the most beautiful part of it, the language of metaphor, the language of drama, which was used as a